# **Complete Summary**

#### **TITLE**

Postoperative wound dehiscence: number of abdominopelvic surgery patients with disruption of abdominal wall per 1,000 eligible admissions.

# SOURCE(S)

AHRQ quality indicators. Pediatric quality indicators: technical specifications [version 3.2]. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2008 Feb 29. various p.

McDonald K, Romano P, Davies S, Haberland C, Geppert J, Ku A, Choudhry K. Measures of pediatric health care quality based on hospital administrative data: the pediatric quality indicators. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2006 Sep. 130 p. [82 references]

## **Measure Domain**

## **PRIMARY MEASURE DOMAIN**

Outcome

The validity of measures depends on how they are built. By examining the key building blocks of a measure, you can assess its validity for your purpose. For more information, visit the Measure Validity page.

# **SECONDARY MEASURE DOMAIN**

Does not apply to this measure

# **Brief Abstract**

# **DESCRIPTION**

This measure is used to assess the number of abdominopelvic surgery patients with disruption of abdominal wall per 1,000 eligible admissions.

# **RATIONALE**

This indicator is intended to flag wound dehiscence in patients who have undergone abdominal and pelvic surgery. A specific code is available to detect wound dehiscence in this patient population. The indicator is restricted to secondary diagnoses, and is intended to capture cases occurring within the same

hospitalization. High quality surgical technique may reduce the risk for this complication.

#### PRIMARY CLINICAL COMPONENT

Postoperative wound dehiscence; abdominopelvic surgery

#### DENOMINATOR DESCRIPTION

All abdominopelvic surgical discharges under age 18

#### Exclude cases:

- where a procedure for reclosure of postoperative disruption of abdominal wall occurs before or on the same day as the first abdominopelvic surgery procedure (Note: If day of procedure is not available in the input data file, the rate may be slightly lower than if the information was available.)
- where length of stay is less than 2 days
- with high- or intermediate-risk immunocompromised state
- newborns with birth weight less than 500 grams
- with procedure code for gastroschisis or umbilical hernia repair in newborns (omphalacele repair) performed before reclosure
- Major Diagnostic Category (MDC) 14 (pregnancy, childbirth, and puerperium)

**Note**: Refer to the original measure documentation for specific Diagnosis Related Groups (DRGs) and International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) codes.

## NUMERATOR DESCRIPTION

Discharges among cases meeting the inclusion and exclusion rules for the denominator with International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) code for reclosure of postoperative disruption of abdominal wall (54.61) in any procedure field

# **Evidence Supporting the Measure**

# **EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE CRITERION OF QUALITY**

- A formal consensus procedure involving experts in relevant clinical, methodological, and organizational sciences
- One or more research studies published in a National Library of Medicine (NLM) indexed, peer-reviewed journal

# **Evidence Supporting Need for the Measure**

# **NEED FOR THE MEASURE**

Variation in quality for the performance measured

# **EVIDENCE SUPPORTING NEED FOR THE MEASURE**

Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ). National healthcare quality report. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2003.

Miller MR, Elixhauser A, Zhan C. Patient safety events during pediatric hospitalizations. Pediatrics2003 Jun;111(6 Pt 1):1358-66. PubMed

Miller MR, Zhan C. Pediatric patient safety in hospitals: a national picture in 2000. Pediatrics2004 Jun;113(6):1741-6. [32 references] PubMed

Sedman A, Harris JM 2nd, Schulz K, Schwalenstocker E, Remus D, Scanlon M, Bahl V. Relevance of the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality Patient Safety Indicators for children's hospitals. Pediatrics2005 Jan;115(1):135-45. [17 references] <a href="PubMed">PubMed</a>

# **State of Use of the Measure**

#### **STATE OF USE**

Current routine use

#### **CURRENT USE**

Internal quality improvement Quality of care research

# **Application of Measure in its Current Use**

## **CARE SETTING**

Hospitals

#### PROFESSIONALS RESPONSIBLE FOR HEALTH CARE

Physicians

#### LOWEST LEVEL OF HEALTH CARE DELIVERY ADDRESSED

Single Health Care Delivery Organizations

## **TARGET POPULATION AGE**

Age less than 18 years

# **TARGET POPULATION GENDER**

Either male or female

# STRATIFICATION BY VULNERABLE POPULATIONS

# **Characteristics of the Primary Clinical Component**

# INCIDENCE/PREVALENCE

Post-operative abdominopelvic wound dehiscence is an issue of concern in the pediatric surgical population. Other groups have analyzed rates of this indicator using the publicly available indicator definition applied to a pediatric population; this definition differs slightly from the definition proposed for this measure. The incidence of post-operative wound dehiscence was investigated in pediatric patients in several studies (e.g., 1.25 per 1,000 discharges at 0 to 17 years, 1.74 at 18 to 44 years, 2.65 at 45 to 65 years, and 3.77 at 65 or more years. Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project (HCUP) data from 1997 showed a rate of 2.9 per 10,000 discharges for a broader definition of post-operative wound disruption (based on either a diagnosis code or a procedure code). Using HCUP data from 2000, a rate of 8 per 10,000 discharges was seen for the complication of postoperative wound dehiscence in pediatric patients 0 to 18 years of age. Additionally, it was found that this complication resulted in an increased mean length of stay (by 21.1 days) and \$76,737 in increased charges in affected patients, with 5.7 times higher odds of in-hospital mortality (after adjusting for age, gender, expected payer, up to 30 comorbidities, and multiple hospital characteristics, including ownership, teaching status, nursing expertise, urban location, bed size, pediatric volume, coding intensity, intensive care unit (ICU) bed percentage, and surgical discharge percentage). Sedman et al found a range of observed rates for post-operative wound dehiscence from 1.7 per 1,000 in 2002 to 1.2 per 10,000 in 1999 using National Association of Children's Hospitals and Related Institutions (NACHRI) data (i.e., a slight downward trend over time).

## **EVIDENCE FOR INCIDENCE/PREVALENCE**

Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ). National healthcare quality report. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2003.

Miller MR, Elixhauser A, Zhan C. Patient safety events during pediatric hospitalizations. Pediatrics2003 Jun;111(6 Pt 1):1358-66. PubMed

Miller MR, Zhan C. Pediatric patient safety in hospitals: a national picture in 2000. Pediatrics2004 Jun;113(6):1741-6. [32 references] <a href="PubMed">PubMed</a>

Sedman A, Harris JM 2nd, Schulz K, Schwalenstocker E, Remus D, Scanlon M, Bahl V. Relevance of the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality Patient Safety Indicators for children's hospitals. Pediatrics2005 Jan;115(1):135-45. [17 references] <a href="PubMed">PubMed</a>

# **ASSOCIATION WITH VULNERABLE POPULATIONS**

See the "Incidence/Prevalence" field.

# **BURDEN OF ILLNESS**

See the "Incidence/Prevalence" field.

## **UTILIZATION**

See the "Incidence/Prevalence" field.

## **COSTS**

See the "Incidence/Prevalence" field.

# **Institute of Medicine National Healthcare Quality Report Categories**

# **IOM CARE NEED**

Getting Better

# **IOM DOMAIN**

Effectiveness Safety

# **Data Collection for the Measure**

#### **CASE FINDING**

Users of care only

## **DESCRIPTION OF CASE FINDING**

All abdominopelvic surgical discharges under age 18 (see the "Denominator Inclusions/Exclusions" field)

#### **DENOMINATOR SAMPLING FRAME**

Patients associated with provider

## **DENOMINATOR INCLUSIONS/EXCLUSIONS**

# Inclusions

All abdominopelvic surgical discharges under age 18

# **Exclusions**

Exclude cases:

- where a procedure for reclosure of postoperative disruption of abdominal wall occurs before or on the same day as the first abdominopelvic surgery procedure (Note: If day of procedure is not available in the input data file, the rate may be slightly lower than if the information was available.)
- where length of stay is less than 2 days

- with high- or intermediate-risk immunocompromised state
- newborns with birth weight less than 500 grams
- with procedure code for gastroschisis or umbilical hernia repair in newborns (omphalacele repair) performed before reclosure
- Major Diagnostic Category (MDC) 14 (pregnancy, childbirth, and puerperium)

**Note**: Refer to the original measure documentation for specific Diagnosis Related Groups (DRGs) and International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) codes.

# **RELATIONSHIP OF DENOMINATOR TO NUMERATOR**

All cases in the denominator are equally eligible to appear in the numerator

# **DENOMINATOR (INDEX) EVENT**

Clinical Condition Institutionalization Therapeutic Intervention

#### **DENOMINATOR TIME WINDOW**

Time window is a single point in time

# **NUMERATOR INCLUSIONS/EXCLUSIONS**

#### **Inclusions**

Discharges among cases meeting the inclusion and exclusion rules for the denominator with International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) code for reclosure of postoperative disruption of abdominal wall (54.61) in any procedure field

### **Exclusions**

Unspecified

# MEASURE RESULTS UNDER CONTROL OF HEALTH CARE PROFESSIONALS, ORGANIZATIONS AND/OR POLICYMAKERS

The measure results are somewhat or substantially under the control of the health care professionals, organizations and/or policymakers to whom the measure applies.

#### NUMERATOR TIME WINDOW

Institutionalization

#### **DATA SOURCE**

Administrative data

# **LEVEL OF DETERMINATION OF QUALITY**

Not Individual Case

## **OUTCOME TYPE**

Adverse Outcome

#### PRE-EXISTING INSTRUMENT USED

Unspecified

# **Computation of the Measure**

#### **SCORING**

Rate

# **INTERPRETATION OF SCORE**

Better quality is associated with a lower score

## **ALLOWANCE FOR PATIENT FACTORS**

Analysis by high-risk subgroup (stratification on vulnerable populations)
Analysis by subgroup (stratification on patient factors, geographic factors, etc.)
Risk adjustment method widely or commercially available

## **DESCRIPTION OF ALLOWANCE FOR PATIENT FACTORS**

Risk adjustment of the data is recommended using, at minimum, birthweight, age in days, age and AHRQ Clinical Classification Software\*.

Application of multivariate signal extraction (MSX) to smooth risk adjusted rates is also recommended.

\*Note: Information on the Clinical Classification Software (CCS) for ICD-9-CM is available at <a href="http://hcup-us.ahrq.gov/toolssoftware/ccs/ccs.jsp">http://hcup-us.ahrq.gov/toolssoftware/ccs/ccs.jsp</a>.

#### STANDARD OF COMPARISON

Internal time comparison

# **Evaluation of Measure Properties**

#### **EXTENT OF MEASURE TESTING**

The development of the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) Pediatric Quality Indicators utilizes a four pronged approach: identification of candidate indicators, literature review, empirical analyses, and panel review. Candidate indicators were identified through both published literature and a brief

survey of national organizations. Literature review provided descriptions and evaluations of some candidate indicators and the underlying relationship to quality of care. Empirical analyses were conducted to explore alternative definitions; to assess nationwide rates and hospital variation; and to develop appropriate methods to account for variation in risk. Clinical panel review helped to refine indicator definitions and risk groupings, and to establish face validity in light of the limited evidence from the literature for most pediatric indicators. Information from these sources was used to specify indicator definitions and make recommendations to AHRQ regarding the best indicators for inclusion in the pediatric indicator set.

A structured review of each indicator was undertaken to evaluate face validity (from a clinical perspective). This process mirrored that undertaken during the initial development of the Patient Safety Indicators. Specifically, the panel approach established *consensual validity*, which "extends face validity from one expert to a panel of experts who examine and rate the appropriateness of each item...." The methodology for the structured review was adapted from the RAND/UCLA Appropriateness Method and consisted of an initial independent assessment of each indicator by clinician panelists using an initial questionnaire, a conference call among all panelists, followed by a final independent assessment by clinician panelists using the same questionnaire. The panel process served to refine definitions of some indicators, add new measures, and dismiss indicators with major concerns from further consideration.

Empirical analyses were conducted to provide the clinical panels and peer review participants with additional information about the indicators. These analyses were also used by the development team to test the alternative specifications and the relative contribution of indicator components in the numerator and denominator. These analyses were not intended to inform issues of precision, bias and construct validity, which will be addressed separately. The data source used in the empirical analyses was the 2003 Kids' Inpatient Sample (KID).

Refer to the original measure documentation for additional details.

#### **EVIDENCE FOR RELIABILITY/VALIDITY TESTING**

Fitch K, Bernstein SJ, Aguilar MD, et al. The RAND/UCLA appropriateness method user's manual. Santa Monica (CA): RAND; 2001. 109 p.

Green L, Lewis F. Measurement and evaluation in health education and health promotion. Mountain View (CA): Mayfield Publishing Company; 1998.

McDonald K, Romano P, Davies S, Haberland C, Geppert J, Ku A, Choudhry K. Measures of pediatric health care quality based on hospital administrative data: the pediatric quality indicators. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2006 Sep. 130 p. [82 references]

# **Identifying Information**

# **ORIGINAL TITLE**

Postoperative wound dehiscence (PDI 11).

## **MEASURE COLLECTION**

Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) Quality Indicators

#### **MEASURE SET NAME**

Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) Pediatric Quality Indicators

# **DEVELOPER**

Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality

#### **ENDORSER**

National Quality Forum

#### **ADAPTATION**

This measure was adapted from the AHRQ Patient Safety Quality Indicators.

## **PARENT MEASURE**

Postoperative Wound Dehiscence, Provider Level (PSI 14) (Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality [AHRQ])

#### **RELEASE DATE**

2006 Feb

#### **REVISION DATE**

2008 Feb

# **MEASURE STATUS**

This is the current release of the measure.

# SOURCE(S)

AHRQ quality indicators. Pediatric quality indicators: technical specifications [version 3.2]. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2008 Feb 29. various p.

McDonald K, Romano P, Davies S, Haberland C, Geppert J, Ku A, Choudhry K. Measures of pediatric health care quality based on hospital administrative data: the pediatric quality indicators. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2006 Sep. 130 p. [82 references]

## **MEASURE AVAILABILITY**

The individual measure, "Postoperative Wound Dehiscence (PDI 11)," is published in "Measures of Pediatric Health Care Quality Based on Hospital Administrative Data: The Pediatric Quality Indicators" and "AHRQ Quality Indicators. Pediatric Quality Indicators: Technical Specifications [version 3.2]." These documents are available in Portable Document Format (PDF) from the <a href="Pediatric Quality Indicators">Pediatric Quality Indicators</a> Download page at the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) Quality Indicators Web site.

For more information, please contact the QI Support Team at support@qualityindicators.ahrq.gov.

# **COMPANION DOCUMENTS**

The following are available:

- AHRQ quality indicators. Pediatric quality indicators: software documentation [version 3.2] - SAS. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2008 Mar 10. 40 p. This document is available in Portable Document Format (PDF) from the AHRQ Quality Indicators Web site.
- AHRQ quality indicators. Software documentation: Windows [version 3.1a]. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2007 Apr 6. 99 p. This document is available in PDF from the <u>AHRQ Quality</u> Indicators Web site.
- Pediatric quality indicators (PedQI): covariates [version 3.1]. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2007 Mar 12. 52 p. This document is available in PDF from the <u>AHRQ Quality Indicators Web site</u>.
- Pediatric quality indicators (PedQI): covariates (with POA) [version 3.1].
   Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2007
   Mar 12. 52 p. This document is available in PDF from the AHRQ Quality
   Indicators Web site.
- HCUPnet. [internet]. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2004 [accessed 2007 May 21]. [Various pagings]. HCUPnet is available from the AHRQ Web site. See the related QualityTools summary.

# **NQMC STATUS**

This NQMC summary was completed by ECRI Institute on December 28, 2007. The information was verified by the measure developer on March 31, 2008.

#### COPYRIGHT STATEMENT

No copyright restrictions apply.

# Disclaimer

## **NQMC DISCLAIMER**

The National Quality Measures Clearinghouse™ (NQMC) does not develop, produce, approve, or endorse the measures represented on this site.

All measures summarized by NQMC and hosted on our site are produced under the auspices of medical specialty societies, relevant professional associations, public and private organizations, other government agencies, health care organizations or plans, individuals, and similar entities.

Measures represented on the NQMC Web site are submitted by measure developers, and are screened solely to determine that they meet the NQMC Inclusion Criteria which may be found at

http://www.qualitymeasures.ahrq.gov/about/inclusion.aspx.

NQMC, AHRQ, and its contractor ECRI Institute make no warranties concerning the content or its reliability and/or validity of the quality measures and related materials represented on this site. The inclusion or hosting of measures in NQMC may not be used for advertising or commercial endorsement purposes.

Readers with questions regarding measure content are directed to contact the measure developer.

© 2008 National Quality Measures Clearinghouse

Date Modified: 11/10/2008

